

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,324	07/30/2003	Winfried Fechner	09110-US 8735		
7	7590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER		
Kevin J. Moriarty			PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C		
Patent Department DEERE & COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One John Deere Place			3671		
Moline, IL 6	1265-8098		DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/630,324	FECHNER ET AL				
Oπice A	action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Meredith C Petravick	3671				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is	FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	<b>i</b>						
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(	⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,324

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 7/30/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph 21, line 3, "t" should be --to--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. These claims include limitations to a device which is set up to calculate an error-corrected measured value using the measured values of the sensor during an addition of additional harvested crop material and

Application/Control Number: 10/630,324

Art Unit: 3671

during the absence of an addition of additional harvested crop material. Howe the device calculates an error-corrected measured value is not disclosed in the specification. Paragraphs 19 and 20 merely state that a corrected throughput of grain in the processing device is calculated by an evaluation device from the original signal and from the signal changed by the defined addition of grain. The specification does not disclose how this calculation is done. These claims will not be further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morrison 3,515,114.

Morrison discloses a measuring device on a harvester including a sensor (64) for measuring the intensity of flow of harvested crop material and a dosing device (in housing 60), which supplies a defined amount of additional crop material to the harvested crop material.

Regarding claims 2, the sensor is arranged at the output side of a crop-processing device and measures the amount of separated grain.

Regarding claims 3-4, the crop-processing device is considered to be the combination of the thresher (18) and the straw walkers (24).

Art Unit: 3671

Regarding claims 5 and 7, the dosing device is above the front of the threshing cylinder. It loads the crop-processing device with grain by supplying it to the crop flowing in the threshing cylinder (Fig. 1).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison in view of Metager, 3,630,209.

Morrison discloses the device described above. However, Morrison adds the additional crop material to the crop flow in the threshing cylinder instead of to the crop flow in the feeder housing as in claim 6.

Like Morrison, Metager discloses a harvester having that adds additional crop material to be rethreshed back into the crop flow. Unlike Morrison, Metager adds the additional crop material to the crop flow in the feeder housing instead of the threshing cylinder. Metager teaches that this reduces damage to the grain (Column 1, lines 64-69).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the additional crop material from the dosing device in Morrison to the feeder housing as taught in Metager as decreasing damage to the grain.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,324 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meredith C Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 a.m.- 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Meredith C Petravick

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3671

October 28, 2004